

proofread.law citation check

Generated 2026-09-24 16:25 UTC · default mode · input: text, 1,087 characters

8 citations · check this 4 · cannot verify 1 (1 Westlaw/Lexis ids) · found 3

Checked against 10.1 M cases (CourtListener bulk data 2026-06-30, plus opinions filed through 2026-09-23); published federal appellate opinions from 2018 to 2026-09-20 are held for every circuit except the 2d Cir. (partly unverifiable); unpublished appellate opinions are not; Westlaw/Lexis identifiers are not resolvable; statutes, regulations and secondary sources are not checked. Whether a case is still good law (overruled or limited by a later court, reversed or vacated on appeal) is not checked: this is not a citator.

Nothing you submit is stored. The document is processed in memory and discarded when this report is returned; only counts (citations, tiers, timing) are logged, never text.

#	tier	citation	parties	verdict
1	Check this	509 U.S. 644	Bostock v. Clayton County	Register has Bostock v. Clayton County at 590 U.S. 644. Check the volume
4	Check this	499 U.S. 340	Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.	Quoted language not in the opinion
6	Check this	925 F.3d 1339	Varghese v. China Southern Airlines Co.	Not found in the register
7	Check this	123 F.3d 456	Smith v. Jones	Register holds a different case at this citation: Mary Santaella and Cary Eldridge v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Company (1997)
8	Cannot verify	2024 WL 123456	Doe v. Roe	Westlaw/Lexis identifier. Open registers cannot resolve it
2	Found	556 U.S. 662	Ashcroft v. Iqbal	Found: Ashcroft v. Iqbal (U.S. 2009)
3	Found	499 U.S. 340	Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.	Found: Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co. (U.S. 1991); quoted language present (verbatim)
5	Found	499 U.S. 340	Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.	Found: Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co. (U.S. 1991)

1 Westlaw/Lexis identifier (rows 8): open registers cannot resolve them. Verify on Westlaw or Lexis. On an AI-drafted document, an unverifiable identifier is where a citation that does not exist most often sits; on a lawyer-drafted one it is the normal way to cite an unpublished decision.

Detail

1. Check this 509 U.S. 644, Bostock v. Clayton County

Register has Bostock v. Clayton County at 590 U.S. 644. Check the volume

In the register, 509 U.S. 644 is Shaw v. Reno. The case named in the document exists; this citation does not point to it.

Register entry: <https://www.courtlistener.com/opinion/4760997/bostock-v-clayton-county/>

4. Check this 499 U.S. 340, Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.

Quoted language not in the opinion

Found: Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co. (U.S. 1991). 2 of 5 content words were not found near the closest passage (always, protectable). Most often the sentence is another court's description of this case: cite that court '(quoting ...)', or check the quotation against the opinion.

Quotation checked: "facts are always protectable expression under the Copyright Act"

Register entry: <https://www.courtlistener.com/opinion/112568/feist-publications-inc-v-rural-telephone-service-co/>

6. Check this 925 F.3d 1339, Varghese v. China Southern Airlines Co.

Not found in the register

No case at 925 F.3d 1339 in the register, and no case named Varghese v. China Southern Airlines Co. (2019) was found by name. The register holds this court's published opinions for that year, so a miss is a finding. Check the citation against the source.

Quotation not checked: "the airline was liable for all consequential damages flowing from the delay"

7. Check this 123 F.3d 456, Smith v. Jones

Register holds a different case at this citation: Mary Santaella and Cary Eldridge v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Company (1997)

No case named Smith v. Jones was found by name in the register. Check volume and page against the source.

Register entry: <https://www.courtlistener.com/opinion/745358/mary-santaella-and-cary-eldridge-v-metropolitan-life-insurance-company/>

This report records what was checked and what was found in an open register of case law. It is not legal advice and does not replace reading the authority. proofread.law (Data Alchemy Labs).